

### Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <a href="http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content">http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content</a>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

# [ 169 ]

1445 Trifolium globosum repens C. B. P. 329

1446 Tordylium maximum Inst. R. H. 320.

1447 Tragoselinum maximum Austriacum soliis magis incisis Boer.

1448 Valeriana Lusitanica latifolia annua laciniata
Tourn. 132.

1449 Verbena tenuifolia C. B.

1450 Urtica racemifera maxima Sinarum foliis subtus argentea lanugine villosis. Pluk. Almag. 212.

XXV. Some Observations upon the Sex of Flowers by W. Watson, F. R. S. occasioned by a Letter upon the same Subject, by Mr. Mylius of Berlin.

Extract of Mr. Mylius's Letter to Mr. Watfon, dated at Berlin, Feb. 20, 1750-51.

Read May 2. " THE fex of plants is very well confirmed by an experiment, " that has been made here on the palma major foliis flabelliformibus. There is a great tree of this kind in the garden of the royal academy. It has flower'd and bore fruit these thirty years; but the fruit never ripen'd; and when planted, it did not vegetate. The palm-tree, as you know, is a planta dioecia; that is, one of those, in which the male and female parts of generation are upon different plants. We having therefore no male plant, the

"flowers of our female were never impregnated by the farina of the male. There is a male plant of this kind in a garden at Leipfic, twenty German miles from Berlin. We procured from thence in April 1749 a branch of male flowers, and fufpended it over our female ones; and our experiment succeeded so well, that our palm-tree produced more than an hundred perfectly ripe fruit; from which we have already eleven young palm-trees. This experiment was repeated last year, and our palm-tree bore above two thousand ripe fruit. As I do not remember a like experiment, I thought convenient to mention it to you; and, if you think proper, be pleased to communicate it to the Royal Society."

In pursuance of my correspondent's desire, I take the liberty of laying this account before you, which I think very curious; not on account of its novelty, or of its confirming the sex of plants, which is now sufficiently established; but on account of the male and semale palm-tree's flourishing so completely, even under all possible advantages, in such high latitudes as those of Leipsic and Berlin.

The impregnation of the female palm-tree by the male has been known in the most antient times. Herodotus\*, whom Cicero calls the father of history, when

\* Herodot, Kreiw.

Τά τε ἄλλα' η φοινίκων τες έρσενας Ελληνες καλέσι, τε αν τον καρπόν περιθέεσι τησι Εαλαγηφόροισι των φοινίκων, ίνα πεπαίνη αι σφι ψην την βάλανον εσθύνων, η μη απορρές ο καρπός ο τε φοινικος ψηνα γάς θε φέρεσι εν τω καρπώ οι έρσενες, καθάπες θη οι όλυνθα.

when speaking of the palm-tree, says, " that the "Greeks call some of these trees male, the fruit of " which they bind to the other kind, which bears "dates; that the small flies, wherewith the male " abounds, may affift in ripening the fruit; for, fays "this author, the male palm-tree produces in its " fruit small flies, just as the fig-tree does." The very remote age, in which Herodotus wrote, sufficiently apologizes for his believing, that what was really brought about by the farina facundans of the male flower, was to be attributed to the infects frequently found therein, and which perhaps very often do carry this farina from the male to the female. They had feen the effects of caprification in fig-trees by these infects, and were missed by the analogy. I have here translated them small flies, but they had a particular appellation given them by Herodotus, Aristotle \*. and Theophrastus, who call them Inv. Pliny, in his history, when treating of caprification, which is almost a translation from Theophrastus, calls them culices, Linnæus ichneumones, and Tournefort moucherons.

Theophrastus §, the most early writer of plants, except Aristotle, that has been handed down to us, in his account of the palm-tree gives us the very process mentioned by our correspondent. "They bring together (says this author) the males and the semales, which causes the fruit to continue, and ripen upon the trees. Some, from the simility 2 "tude"

<sup>\*</sup> Aristoteles περί ζώων. Οἱ δε έρινοὶ --- ἔχυσι τῶς καλυμένως Τῦνας.

<sup>5</sup> Theoph. περὶ φυζῶν. Κεφ. θ.

"tude of this to what happens in fig-trees, call it caprification; and it is performed in the following manner: While the male plant is in flower, they cut off a branch of these flowers, and scatter the dust and down therein upon the flowers of the female plant. By these means," he goes on, "the female does not cast her fruit, but preserves them to maturity." Pliny\* also mentions the like process.

Among more modern authors, Prosper Alpinus ‡ gives us at large the manner of the impregnation of the female palm-tree by the male, for the purposes before-mentioned. We have also copious accounts of the same process by Tournefort §, Kæmpser ||, and Ludwig \*\*. As Kæmpfer was an eye-witnefs, his account of this matter is most to be depended upon. He says, " Plena res dignissimaque admirationis est " modus palmas fæmininas fæcundandi. Habet id " tot popularium, Persidis, Arabiæ, Ægypti, nutrix " inter plantas singulare, ut animalium exemplo, " mari stato tempore miscenda, atque singuli ejus " uteri, quasi conjugali coitu, impregnandi sint; se-" cus omnia sua, quæ in lucem prodiderat, fructuum " rudimenta, indeclinabili abortu dimiffura. Palmi-" colis itaque incumbit, ut impregnandis arboribus " quotannis impendant operam, fiquidem in fe re-" dundare annonam cupiunt. Modus procedendi " hic

<sup>\*</sup> Plinii Hist. Nat. lib. xiii. cap. iv.

Alpin. de plant. Ægypt. p. 16. Isagog. instit. rei herbar. p. 69.

Amoen. exot. p. 706.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Dissert. de sexu plant. p. 29.

"hie est: spalæ masculæ incluso tumentes slore, et ad thalami consortium maturo, sub sinem Februarii ex arboris fastigio extrahuntur; quibus in longum dissectis eximuntur spadices, slosculis nondum oscitantibus, sed in unam massam compactis conferti. Hos protinus in surculos sive bacillos, spadicibus sæmininis inserendos divellunt. Bacillos alii amant recentes, atque illico insinuare spadicibus, si qui jam lucem nacii sunt; alii eos prius exsiccant, et in Martium usque mensem custodiunt, quo hiantibus uteris ad unum omnibus insitionem uno actu et opera instituant."

As I am now upon the fex of plants, I cannot but observe, that although the ancients distinguished rightly, in determining the true fexes of the palmtree, it is the only plant, in which they have not erred. Though they called plants of the same genus, or of others very nearly related thereto, male and female, it was upon an imaginary, a false principle; and that usually taken from their fize, the difference of their leaves, or the figure of their fruit; and what therefore they have denominated male and female, must not with the modern exactness be rigorously considered as fuch. Thus Aristotle \*. after having taken notice that there was the distinction of male and female observable in plants, fays, "that the male & plant " is more rough and strong, the female more weak " and fruitful." And Theophrastus ||, when speak-

ing;

| Plantar. histor. lib. iii. cap. 10.

<sup>\*</sup> De plant, lib. i. cap. 2. ἐυείσκε]αι ἐν τοῖς φυ]οῖς ὅ]ι έχει τὰ φυ]α γένος ἄρρεν κỳ θῆλυ. § Aristot. ibid.

ing of the male and female pine-tree, fays, " that the Macedonians have trees nearly related to pines, of which the male is of shorter growth, and has " harder leaves; that the female is taller, and has " its leaves fofter, and more fleshy." He says, upon his own authority, " that the wood of the male pine " is hard, that of the female more foft." Pliny \*. also in his history gives a like reason for his distinguishing the sex of the pine: he says farther §, in another part of the valuable monument he has left us, " that the most expert naturalists affert, that every " tree, and every herb, which the earth produces, " hath both fexes:" but this is to be understood in the manner I just now mentioned; and so likewise is the diffinction among the more modern botanists in their denominations of feveral plants, such as Veronica, Eupatorium, Anagallis, Tilia, Pæonia, Balsamita, Filix, Quercus, Orchis, Laureola, Abrotanum, Cornus, Polygonum, Equisetum, Mandragora. and others, which are termed imaginarily male and female; as the discovery of the real sex of plants was referved for the accuracy of the present age.

Besides the before-mention'd erroneous principle, from which the antients, as well as some more modern authors, determined the sex of plants, there is yet another, which I think right to mention in this place; and that is, a denomination of plants from their sex, which is absolutely false: and in order to elucidate this position, and to shew at the same time

wherein

<sup>\*</sup> Lib. xvi. cap. 10.

<sup>§</sup> Lib. xiii. cap. 4.

## [ 175 ]

wherein the fex of plants does really confift, I must beg leave to premise, that it is in the flowers of vegetables only, that the parts subservient to generation are produced. Simple flowers (I use this term in opposition to the compound flowers of the botanists) are either male, female, or hermaphrodite. By male flowers, I would be understood to mean those, which are possessed only of those organs of generation, analogous to the male parts of animals; and these are, what former botanists have denominated framina and apices, but are nam'd more properly by Linnæus fince, filamentum and anthera. The female flower is only endowed with parts like those, which perform the office of generation in females; and these are the pistillum and its appertenances, which by Linnæus, with his accustomed accuracy, are divided into three parts; viz. the germen, sylus, and figma. The hermaphrodite flower, which constitutes the great bulk of the vegetable creation, is possessed of all these parts in itself, and is itself thereby capable of propagating its species without any foreign affiftance; which, by many incontestable experiments it has been found neither the male nor female flower fimply is able to do.

Much the greater number of plants, as I have just hinted, have hermaphrodite flowers; but there are some, which have both the male and semale flowers growing from the same root. Such are Mays or Indian corn, nettles, box, elm, birch, oak, walnut, beech, hazel, hornbeam, the plane-tree, pine, fir, cypress, cedar, the larch-tree, melons, cucumers, gourds, and several others. In many of these, though the male and semale flowers are at considerable distances,

## [ 176 ]

distances, the farina facundans, which Providence, on account of its being liable to be spoiled by rain, or diffipated by winds, has provided in great abundance, is conveyed to the female by means of the atmosphere. It is this class of vegetables, and the following, the quantity of the produce of which is much more precarious than those plants, which have hermaphrodite flowers; as the impregnation of these last may be performed within their own calyx; whereas the former must necessarily commit their farina to the circumambient air. It is for this reason, that if during the time of the flowering of these plants, the weather is either very wet or stormy, their produce of fruit will be very inconfiderable, from the spoiling or hasty diffipation of the male farina. independent of frosts, the fruit of the nut and filberdtree will be most numerous in those years, in which the months of January and February are the least stormy and wet; as at that time their flowers are produced. For the same reasons, a stormy or wet May destroys the chesnuts; and the same weather in July prodigiously lessens the crop of Mays or Indian corn, as its spikes of male flowers stand lofty, and at a confiderable distance from the female. In like manner a judgment may be formed of the rest of these.

Some of the more skilful modern gardeners put in practice, with regard to melons and cucumers, the very method mention'd by Theophrastus 2000 years ago, in regard to the palm-tree. As these plants, early in the season, are in this climate confined to frames and glasses, the air, in which they grow, is more stagnant than the open air, whereby the distribution

# [ 177 ]

of the farina fæcundans, so necessary towards the production of the fruit for the propagation of the species, is much hindered; to obviate which, they collect the male flowers when fully blown, and presenting them to the semale ones, by a stroke of the singer they scatter the farina fæcundans therein, and this prevents the falling of the fruit immaturely.

Besides the vegetables before-mentioned, which bear both male and female flowers upon the same root, there are others, which produce those necessary organs upon different roots. In the number of these are the palm-tree (the more particular subject of this paper) hops, the willow-tree, misletoe, spinach, hemp, poplar, French and dog's mercury, the yewtree, juniper, and several others. Among these the Valisheria of Linnæus, as to the manner, in which its male flower impregnates the female, is one of the most fingular prodigies in nature. The manner of this operation is figured by Micheli, in his Nova plantarum genera, and described by Linnæus, in the Hortus Cliffortianus. As that elaborate and expenfive work is in very few hands, in fuch only as owe it to the munificence of Mr. Clifford of Amsterdam, of which number I with pleasure acknowlege myself one, I will here lay before you a short account thereof:

The Valisheria grows in rivulets, ditches, and ponds, in many parts of Europe. The male plant, which is continually covered with water, has a short stalk, upon the top of which its flowers are produced. As this top never reaches the surface of the water, the flowers are thrown off from it, and come unopened to the surface of the water; where, as

foon as they arrive, by the action of the air, they expand themselves, and swim round the female flowers, which are blown at the same time. These last have a long spiral foot-stalk, by which they attain the furface of the water, and remaining there in flower a few days, are impregnated by the male flowers detached from the stalk at the bottom. This operation seems to be thus directed, as the farina facundans could not exert its effects in so dense a medium as water; and we find, that even the hermaphrodite flowers of water-plants, such as those of potamogiton, ranunculus aquaticus, bottonia, and nymphæa, these, I say, never expand themselves, until they reach the surface of the water.

But to return: it was not possible for me, without premiting these things, to make evident what I just now mention'd, in relation to the falfely denominating the fexes of plants; as it is to this last class that the wrong application has been made by botanical writers. This error feems to have been first introduced fo early as by Dioscorides, and has been continued through a great variety of writers even to our own time. It is most certain, that those plants, which produce the feed, ought to be confidered as females; but it happens that in the French and dog's mercury, the feeds are produced in the female plants by pairs; and these are contained in a capsule, which was thought to refemble the fcrotum of animals; and from this testiculated appearance they called these plants males, and the others females. Thus,

3

Thus, for example, Dioscorides \*, when treating of mercurialis, or what we here call French mercury. favs, that " the feed of the female is produced in "bunches, and is copious; that of the male grows " near the leaves; that it is small and round, and is "disposed in pairs like testicles." Dodonæus, Lobel, Dalechamp, John and Caspar Bauhin, Morrison, Tournefort, and Boerhaave, in their several works. have in this followed Dioscorides, and have denominated the feed-bearing plant of this kind, the male; and the other, the female. Fuchfius and John Bauhin likewise call the cynocrambe or dog's mercury, which bears fruit, the male; and the spiked one with male flowers only, the female. This mistake is observable in hemp §, hops, and spinach.

We observe, that the operations of nature are carried on most usually by certain general laws, from which however the fometimes deviates. most all plants have either hermaphrodite flowers, or male and female flowers growing from the same root, or male and female flowers from different roots: but there are a few of another class, which from the fame root furnish either male and hermaphrodite flowers, or female and hermaphrodite flowers. Of this kind are the mulberry-tree, the mula or plantaintree, white hellebore, pellitory, arrach, the ash-tree, and a few others. But of this class the empetrum

7, 2

or

<sup>§</sup> Matthiol. in Dioscorid. p. 663. semen tantum in mari gignitur.

# [ 180 ]

or berry-bearing heath is the most extraordinary; as of this are found some plants with male flowers only. others with both male and semale flowers separately, and still others with hermaphrodite flowers.

What Pere Labat mentions in his Voyage à l'Afrique occidentale should likewise be taken notice of here. This author, after having laid down the different methods of impregnating the female palm-tree by the male, fays, that this process is not absolutely necesfary for the production of dates; for being at Martinico, he there faw growing by an old convent near the place, where they anchored, a palm-tree bearing dates, although the only one of its kind, which was thereabouts. Whether it was male or female, he did not pretend to determine, but was certain, that there then was none, nor had been one, within two leagues of the place where it grew. He doubts indeed, whether or no this tree bearing fruit did not proceed from the farina facundans of the male cocoa tree, which is a species of palm, and which grew in abundance near the tree that bore dates: but he observes, that the stones of these dates did not vegetate, and that those, who were desirous of propagating date-trees, were obliged to plant the Barbary dates; as he believed the others had not the germ proper to produce the tree. From this account it is very obvious, that the palm-tree here mentioned was a female, in which though the fruit ripened, it was in fuch a state of imperfection, as not to be able to propagate its species. In this manner we have eggs furnished us by hens, even without a cock; but these eggs produce no chickens. What this father fays of the female palm-tree's bearing fruit without without the affiftance of the male, our very ingenious and worthy brother Mr. Miller assures me, has been fully confirmed to him by feveral persons: and John Bauhin \*, an author of great credit, describes and figures the whole fructification of a palm-tree, which himself saw growing at Montpelier, and which not only produced branches of male flowers, but also female ones bearing dates. Mr. Ray many years after tells us in his history of plants §, that he himself at Montpelier faw this very remarkable tree men-

tioned by John Bauhin.

This variety in the fructification of the palm-tree, fingular as it may feem, has been likewise observed in some few others. The learned Jungius, in his Doxoscopia ||, mentioning that class of trees, which are male and female in different parts of the same tree, fays, " that trees of this kind, when they " have for many years produced flowers without " fruit, afterwards produce fruit without flowers. "This, he thinks, should be further inquired into." This, fince Jungius's time, has been done, and it has been found that fometimes fome of the trees of this class are wholly male, while young; but as they advance in age, they have flowers of both fexes, and afterwards become intirely female. This fact Mr. Miller has frequently himfelf observed in the mulberry tree; and the Chevalier Rathgeb, at present the emperor's minister at Ve-

nice,

<sup>\*</sup> H.A plant. com. i, p. 351.

Rais M. elshr. som. is. p. 1354. deinue frucius jerre jine fiore, quod amplius observandum,

nice, a gentleman excellently well versed in whatever relates to vegetation, has observed, that a large lentiscus, or mastich-tree, near his garden, had for thirty years produced only male flowers, but that for three years past it had produced plenty of fruit.

The foundation of the discovery of the real sex of plants, which is of no less importance in natural history, than that of the circulation of the blood in the animal economy, was laid by the members of this learned Society; although much of the honour due to them is attributed by foreigners to the late ingenious Monsieur Vaillant of Paris: and this may have arisen from our language not being generally understood upon the continent. Sir Thomas Millington \*, fometime Sedleian lecturer of natural philosophy at Oxford, as we see by our worthy member Dr. Grew's anatomy of plants &, feems first to have assigned a more noble purpose to the ftamina and apices of flowers, than that which had been attributed thereto by preceding writers, and by Monsieur Tournefort afterwards; viz. that of fecreting some excrementitious juices, which were supposed hurtful to the embryo's of the fruit. Thomas conjectured, and rightly, "that the stamina " and apices served as the male for the generation " of feed." This hint, which was afterwards adopted by our learned brother Mr. Ray, in the preface to

<sup>\*</sup> Dr. Grew calls Sir Thomas Millington Savilian professor, which is a mistake. See Wood's Fasti. Oxon. vol. ii. col. 126. 2d edit.

<sup>§</sup> Page 171.

to his Sylloge stirpium exterarum, Dr. Grew carried farther, as we find by his works; and it was followed by || Rodolphus Jacobus Camerarius, professor at Tubingen: but our very industrious and sagacious member Mr. Morland \* pursued long after this inquiry still much higher, as we see by his excellent memoir published in the Philosophical Transactions, to which I must beg leave to refer you. After these, Messieurs Vaillant and Geossfroy illustrated and strengthened these discoveries by very curious and well adapted experiments; so that at present nothing seems wanting for the confirmation of the truth of this doctrine.

So much for the discovery of the sex of plants in general, upon which professor Linnæus of Upsal has founded his system of botany, at present so much and so well received Whoever therefore would consider minutely the structure of slowers and the almost infinite variety of the number and disposition of their parts, may consult Linnæus's Philosophia betanica lately published, where this subject is treated in a very copious and instructive manner.

\* Philosoph. Trans. numb. 287.

Vide epistol. de sexu plant. Tubing 1694.